Department/Service	Childre	en and Families	Families		
Ref	CHI (AA	A)			
Name of policy procedure function being assessed		''		Start date of assessment	28 Sept 2012
Are there are any other policies or procedures associated or linked with this one.		 Statutory consultation within the category for Mobberley CE more than 25%. The Local Authoritiand Inspections A Alterations to Mair School Organisation came into force or 	y of a significant enlarge Primary would increase ty must comply with state ct 2006 (EIA 2006) and ntained Schools)(Englan on and Governance (Am n 21 January 2008 and 1	oroposal as the chement. The addition the capacity by nutory requirement The School Organd) Regulations 20 nendment)(Englang The School Organ	nanges, if approved, will fall onal accommodation proposed nore than 30 pupils and by
objectives and outcomes of the policy / procedure / of spare degree of the policy		addition, this will delive of spare capacity inte degree of parental ch	er a level of operational nded to accommodate r	surplus for the Lo easonable journe ow for mid-year e	es in a local primary school. In ocal Authority, which is a level y times to school, some entrants. The proposal will tfall in school places in

Knutsford and in particular in the Mobberley area of this Local Area Partnership and will have, at worst, a neutral impact on vulnerable and minority groups in the Community.

Pupil forecasts for the Knutsford indicate that there will be a significant shortfall of places across a number of the schools reaching a forecast shortfall of 9% (215 places) by 2017. Mobberley CE Primary is a popular and successful school resulting in the number of preferences for the school exceeding the 20 places available in the reception class for 3 of the last 4 years. For 2012 the school received 33 first preferences against a published admission number (PAN) of 20.

In 2004, Ashley Primary school closed and children on roll at the time of closure were transferred to Mobberley CE (C) Primary. The catchment area for Mobberley was extended to include the area previously zoned to Ashley Primary School putting pressure on the school due to recent changes in populations. The distance to other nearby schools will seem unreasonable to local families who cannot be accommodated in their local school. Many will qualify for transport assistance being over the statutory walking distance of 2 miles. Forecasts for Mobberley CE Primary indicate that from 2012 there will be insufficient places at the school with more children on roll than places available. This trend is forecast to continue reaching a shortfall of 60 pupil places, based on current admission patterns, by 2017.

In making this recommendation the Local Authority has given consideration to a number of issues, including the number of pupils in each school's catchment area, the number of first preferences received for each school, the current size of the school together with the school sites and those suitable for expansion and the likely costs of extension. Suitable schools also needed to be central to the area where the extra places are required.

Who is intended to benefit from this policy –procedure – function?

Young Children and their parents and carers in the Knutsford area

What factors could contribute to or detract from the outcomes?	 Not being able to proceed to consultation and therefore add to the project timeline would affect the timeline to deliver the development by September 2013. Having a flawed consultation exercise which would breach the Local Authorities statutory requirements as set out above. 				
Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy – procedure- function? (Please consider key equality groups)	 Headteachers in schools in Knutsford Children, parents and carers in Cheshire East 				
Who is responsible for the policy – procedure – function?	Rob Hyde				
To take us forward in:					
(a) eliminating discrimination, h 2010;	arassment, vict	imisation an	nd any other conduct that is prohibited by or under the Equality Act		
(b) advancing equality of oppor not share it;	tunity between	persons who	o share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do		
(c) fostering good relations between	ween persons w	vho share a	relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it		
Please indentify any impact (Positive / Negative) this policy, procedure, function or service will have on the following protected characteristics:					
Age - Is there an impact? Yes - No Comments/Actions:					
This will positively impact on the number of school place young people at Primary school age in the Knutsford are					

Carers – Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: The proposal will have a marginally positive impact on persons with dependents and will offer greater parental choice for those families with wider caring responsibilities.
Disability - Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	The proposal will have a marginally positive impact people and parents with a disability because the padditional places will overall provide sufficient place person's place of residence. The proposal will also operental choice for those families with with responsibilities for household members with a disability	
Gender	Yes	No – neutral	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. There is an equal gender balance. Girls represent 52% of the Mobberley CE pupils with boys 48%%. This represents a similar school population demographic across Knutsford with 49% male and 51% female.
Gypsies & Travellers - Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. However as there are no pupils identified as being from the gypsy/traveller community at either Mobberley CE Primary or and across other Knutsford schools the proposal has the potential to be slightly positive for this minority group.

Race – Is there an impact?	Yes	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. Race is recorded as the following from Mobberley CE Primary school: • 96% White • 3% Mixed/Dual Background • 1% Other or Not recorded Across all Knutsford schools the following data is recorded: • 91% White • 3% Mixed/Dual Background • 3% Asian or Asian British • 1% Black or Black British • 2% Other Groups or Not recorded
Religion & Belief- Is there an Impact?	Yes	No – neutral	Comments/Actions: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect. The proposal is applicable to all irrespective of religious belief.
Sexual Orientation -ls there an impact?	Yes	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: The LA does not collect data on the sexual orientation of young

			people. However, there is no evidence to suggest the proposal will have any adverse impact on children within this group. Indeed, all of the proposals enhance equality.
Transgender - Is there an impact?	Yes	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: There is no evidence to suggest that the proposal will have any adverse impact on children/young people in terms of Transgender. Individuals who are vulnerable due to transgender may find no change.
Other socio-economic disadvantaged groups (including white individuals, families and communities) Is there an impact?	Yes - positive	No	Comments/Actions: It is considered that the proposal will have a positive impact on those children/young people included in this group because 3.8% of pupils within Wheelock school (Reception to Year 6) are eligible for free school meals. Across all Knutsford schools 9.5% are eligible.
Please give details of any other potential impacts of this policy (i.e. Poverty & deprivation, community cohesion, environmental)	Yes	No - neutral	Comments/Actions: It is considered that the proposal will have no adverse impact.
Could the impact constitute unlawful discrimination in relation to any of the Equality Duties	Yes	No	Comments: The Local Authority is bound by the Admissions Code and Regulations and this does not allow for any discrimination in this respect.

Does this policy – procedure – function have any effect on good relations between the Council and the Community	Yes	No	Comments: The proposal should be seen as a statement of confidence in the school and its future direction and engender a positive reaction from the local community.
Do you require further data/information/intelligence to support decision making?	Yes	No	Comments: The Impact Assessment will be reviewed at the end of the Consultation exercise but it is thought that no further intelligence or analysis will be required.
Please specify any question(s)/issues/concerns/actions identified as a result the assessment. What needs to be done?			Comments • There are no further concerns identified

Data Methods/Collection to Support Decision Making						
Please indicate what methods of research, information and intelligence will be/have been used e.g. consultation, reports, comparisons with similar organisations	 Internally Desk top research re: legislation, policies, guidance etc CYPD data Population data from internal sources 	Externally				
Please state who will be/who was involved/engaged/consulted	 Internal (Staff/Members/Service/Dept) The Director of Children Families and Adults and SMT. The capital expenditure was approved 	External (stakeholders/service users/partners) • Formal Consultation, if approved, will be undertaken with local families				

	by Cabinet in February 2012 as part of the bulk budget proposals.	and the wider community including other interested parties in according with statutory procedures and DfE guidance.
Please indicate any significant expected costs & resource requirements for completing the data collection	• None	• N/A

REF	Action	Responsible Person/s	Action Deadline	Tasks	Progress
	Review the feedback and consider whether the recommendation needs to be amended.	Rob Hyde / Barbara Dale		Review and amend as necessary	Will take place at the end of consultation
	state the date the policy/prossessed? (generally 1-3 yrs)		Comments/Date: This decision will no	t require review.	

Signed (Service Manager)	Date
Signed (Head of Section)	Date

Once you have completed this section please email it to the Equality and Inclusion Team. The Equality and Inclusion Team will convene a quarterly meeting of the Fairness and Inclusion Group (FIG) who will quality check our EIA's to ensure we have considered everyone. We plan to send approximately 2-5% of our completed EIAs Forms to the (FIG).

Quarterly Progress and monitoring

REF	Action	Progress	Completed
	This decision will not require review.		

Once you have completed your quarterly progress report, please email it to the Equality and Inclusion Team

Measuring Impact & Reporting

Ref	Action	Impact	Outcome	Review Date

Once you have completed your impact report, please email it to the Equality and Inclusion Team. The Equality and Inclusion Team will prepare an annual report for Corporate Management Team and Cabinet on our progress.

Appendix 1

Service Reference Index

Service Reference Index			
Safer & Stronger – SSC	Regeneration – REG	Planning & Housing – PAH	Legal & Democratic Services – LAD
Children & Families – CHI	Adults – ADU	Health & Wellbeing – HWB	Human Resources & Organisational Development – HROD
Policy & Performance – PAP	Corporate Improvement - CI	Environmental – ENV	Borough Treasurer & Head of Assets – BTA